

REMARKS

The present communication responds to the final office action mailed December 22, 2006. The response also follows up on the examiner interview conducted March 22, 2007. In summary, applicant's attorney, an inventor for applicant, and the examiner discussed amending Claim 1 to favorably overcome the cited references. The discussion indicated preliminary that a Claim 1, including a limitation reciting that the pressure housing was a single chamber without a further housing encompassing the chamber distinguished over the cited references.

The present amendment amends Claim 1 to include these limitations. Further, Claim 2 has been amended to clarify the solenoid mounting can be between the motor and the solenoid valves, however, the solenoid mounting does not seal off the motor chamber from the solenoid valves. In more detail, applicant states the following:

CLAIM REJECTION - 35 U.S.C. §112

Claim 2 has been amended to clarify the term "cooperates" and the term "pressure sealed partition." In detail, the term "cooperates" has been amended to the phrase "in fluid communication." Further, applicant has amended Claim 2 to recite that the pressurized interior has a solenoid mounting partition which does not have valves mounted thereon. Applicant has further amended Claim 1 to clarify that there is no housing encompassing the pressurized interior.

CLAIM REJECTION - 35 U.S.C. §103

Applicant has amended Claim 1. Claim 1 recites a single pressure sealed chamber without an additional housing encompassing the chamber. These two limitations distinguish between Price and Robertson. Price does not have a single


pressurized chamber housing the motor and solenoid valves. The motor is in its own chamber and the solenoid valves are in their own chamber as shown in FIG. 12. Robertson includes an additional housing around the motor housing. The additional housing is shown by reference 14 in FIG. 3. Applicant, by providing a single pressurized housing, eliminates the need for the additional housing shown in Robertson.

CONCLUSION

Applicant has placed all of the pending claims in condition for allowance. A notice of allowance should now issue.

March 22, 2007

Respectfully submitted,



James B. Conte
Registration No.: 54,661
Attorney for Applicant
Barnes & Thornburg LLP
P.O. Box 2786
Chicago, IL 60690
Phone: 312-214-4802
Facsimile: 312-759-5646